UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,205	11/14/2006	Nicholas S. Bodor	0056192-000024	4092
21839 7590 09/17/2009 BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC POST OFFICE BOX 1404			EXAMINER	
			LAU, JONATHAN S	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1623	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/17/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/551,205	BODOR ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jonathan S. Lau	1623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 July 2009</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,8,9,11-14,20,21,23-28,32,33,35,5 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13,14,20,21,23-28,32 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,8,9,11,56,57,63,64 and 82-98 is/a 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	2 <u>,33,35 <i>and</i> 67-81</u> is/are withdraw are rejected. r election requirement. r.	n from consideration.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1 page / 06 July 2009.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite				

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 06 July 2009 has been entered.

This Office Action is responsive to Applicant's Amendment and Remarks, filed 06 July 2009, in which claims 1 and 56 are amended to change the scope and breadth of the claim; claims 8, 9, 11, 63, 64 and 82 are amended to change dependency; claims 3-7, 10, 15-19, 22, 29-31, 34, 58-62 and 65 are canceled; and withdrawn claims 13, 20, 21, 23, 25, 32, 33 and 67 are amended.

This application is the national stage entry of PCT/US04/09387, filed 26 Mar 2004; and claims benefit of provisional application 60/458,922, filed 28 Mar 2003; and claims benefit of provisional application 60/484,756, filed 02 July 2003; and claims benefit of provisional application 60/541,247, filed 04 Feb 2004.

The filing date of the instant claims 12, 83, 85 and 89 are deemed to be the filing date of the instant application which is the filing date of PCT/US04/09387, 26 Mar 2004.

The filing date of instant claims 1, 2, 8, 9, 11, 56, 57, 63, 64, 82, 84 and 86-98 are deemed to be the filing date of provisional application 60/541,247, filed 04 Feb 2004.

Claims 1, 2, 8, 9, 11-14, 20, 21, 23-28, 32, 33, 35, 56, 57, 63, 64 and 67-98 are pending in the current application. Claims 13, 14, 20, 21, 23-28, 32, 33, 35 and 67-81, drawn to non-elected inventions, are withdrawn. Claims 1, 2, 8, 9, 11, 56, 57, 63, 64 and 82-98 are examined on the merits herein.

Rejections Withdrawn

Applicant's Amendment and Remarks, filed 06 July 2009, with respect to claims 1-12, 56-66 and 82-83 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schultz et al. (US Patent 6,194,395, published 27 Feb 2001, of record) in view of Baert et al. (WIPO Publication WO97/18839, published 29 May 1997, of record) has been fully considered and is persuasive, as claims 3-7, 10, 58-62 and 65 are canceled and Applicant's remarks supported by the evidence of Van Axel Castelli et al. provided by Applicant in IDS mailed 06 July 2009 is persuasive that the product taught by Schultz et al. in view of Baert et al. is structurally different from the instant invention as claimed and therefore the product taught by Schultz et al. in view of Baert et al. does not teach all limitations of the of instant invention as claimed.

This rejection has been withdrawn.

The following are new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Amended Claims 1, 2, 8, 9, 11, 56, 57, 63, 64 and 82-98 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schultz et al. (US Patent 6,194,395, published 27 Feb 2001, of record) in view of Wrenn Jr. (US Patent 6,174,873, issued 16 Jan 2001, cited in PTO-892) and in view of Loftsson et al. (US Patent 6,699,849, filed 16 Feb 1999, cited in PTO-892).

Schultz et al. discloses a solid pharmaceutical oral dosage form of cladribine comprising cladribine and cyclodextrin (column 2, lines 31-39). Schultz et al. teaches β-cyclodextrins are known to possess the ability to form inclusion complexes and to have concomitant solubilizing properties (column 2, lines 10-15). Schultz et al. discloses the use of β-cyclodextrins (column 2, lines 56-58) and derivatives wherein one or more cyclodextrin hydroxy groups are replaced with groups such as hydroxypropyl (column 3, lines 26-27). Schultz et al. discloses the solid oral dosage form in the form of a tablet (column 5, lines 37-38) including the excipients sorbitol and magnesium stearate (column 6, lines 2-7). Schultz et al. discloses an oral dosage form comprising up to 15 mg cladribine and cyclodextrin from 100 to 500 mg, which would lead one of skill in the art to instantly envision a cladribine to cyclodextrin ratio ranging from 15 mg:100 mg to 15mg:500 mg, or 1:6.67 to 1:33.3 by weight (column 6, lines 23-31). Schultz et al.

implicitly discloses an oral dosage form comprising up to 15 mg cladribine and cyclodextrin from 100 to 500 mg, or a cladribine to cyclodextrin ratio ranging from 1:6.67 to 1:33.3 by weight (column 6, lines 23-31).

Schultz et al. does not specifically disclose the composition comprising no significant amount of free crystalline cladribine therein (instant claims 1). Schultz et al. does not specifically disclose the composition corresponding to a point located on the curve of a phase solubility diagram for saturated complex cladribine-cyclodextrin complexes, said curve defining complex saturated complexes of cladribine in varying concentrations of the cyclodextrin (instant claim 11). Schultz et al. does not specifically disclose the complex consisting of (a) an amorphous inclusion complex of cladribine with an amorphous cyclodextrin and (b) amorphous free cladribine associated with amorphous cyclodextrin as a non-inclusion complex (instant claim 56). Schultz et al. does not specifically disclose the composition comprising a cladribine to cyclodextrin ratio from about 1:10 to about 1:16 (instant claims 6, 7, 10, 61, 62 and 65), or a ratio of about 1:14 (instant claims 8 and 63) or about 1:11 (instant claims 9 and 64). Schultz et al. does not specifically disclose the complex wherein from about 30 to about 40 percent by weight of the cladribine is in the inclusion complex (a) and from about 70 to about 60 percent by weight of the cladribine is in the non-inclusion complex (b) (instant claims 12 and 66). Schultz et al. does not specifically disclose the product-by-process wherein 12.00 parts by weight of cladribine and 172.50 parts by weight of hydroxypropyl-βcyclodextrin are introduced in step (i) of the process (instant claim 91 and 93), to give a cladribine to cyclodextrin ratio of 1:14.38. Schultz et al. does not specifically disclose

the product-by-process wherein 16.35 parts by weight of cladribine and 172.50 parts by weight of the hydroxypropyl-β-cyclodextrin are introduced in step (i) of the process (instant claim 92), to give a cladribine to cyclodextrin ratio of 1:10.55.

Wrenn Jr. teaches solid formulations for oral administration of adenosine analogs (abstract). Wrenn Jr. teaches the adenosine analogs include cladribine (column 6, lines 35-40). Wrenn Jr. teaches it is desirable to improve the solubility and absorption characteristics of poorly water soluble drugs by formulating the adenosine analog in an amorphous form together with solubilizing excipients (column 12, lines 25-30). Wrenn Jr. teaches the stabilization by absorption using a polymer that prevents recrystallization and the combination of the amorphous form and the solubilizing characteristics of the excipients enhances the solubility of the adenosine analog, and the amorphous drug complex may be formulated into a tablet system (column 12, lines 30-40).

Loftsson et al. teaches it is known in the art that substituted cyclodextrins show an increased aqueous solubility and that such chemical modification transforms crystalline cyclodextrins into amorphous mixtures increasing their aqueous solubility (column 1, lines 35-45). Loftsson et al. teaches it is known in the art that in aqueous solution cyclodextrins form complexes with many drugs (column 2, lines 1-10). Loftsson et al. teaches various methods of preparation of drug-cyclodextrin complexes are known in the art, including preparation of a solid complex by evaporation or freeze-drying following formation of the complex by equilibration (column 2, lines 20-40). Loftsson et al. teaches purine derivatives are compatible with said cyclodextrin complexes (column 9, lines 50-55).

Application/Control Number: 10/551,205

Art Unit: 1623

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Schultz et al. in view of Wrenn Jr. and in view of Loftsson et al. Schultz et al. teaches solid formulations for oral administration of cladribine and cyclodextrin. Wrenn Jr. is drawn the field of solid formulations for oral administration of adenosine analogs such as cladribine. Loftsson et al. teaches the level of skill in the art with regard to cyclodextrin complexes including complexes with purine derivatives. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine Schultz et al. in view of Wrenn Jr. and in view of Loftsson et al. because Schultz et al. teaches Schultz et al. teaches undesirable recrystallization of cladribine in tissue may occur and damage the surrounding tissue and that complexes with cyclodextrin are known to solubilize the compound, Wrenn Jr. teaches it is desirable to improve the solubility and absorption characteristics of poorly water soluble drugs by formulating the adenosine analog in an amorphous form together with solubilizing excipients, and Loftsson et al. teaches it is known in the art that complexes with substituted cyclodextrin give amorphous mixtures increasing their aqueous solubility. One of ordinary skill in the art would have a reasonable expectation of success in combining Schultz et al. in view of Wrenn Jr. and in view of Loftsson et al. to render obvious a product that meets all limitation of the instant invention because Wrenn Jr. teaches the stabilization of the adenosine analog by absorption using a polymer that prevents recrystallization and Loftsson et al. teaches modified cyclodextrins that form amorphous mixtures and preparation of a solid complex by evaporation or freeze-drying, which is expected to give a non-crystalline product. Schultz et al. in view of Wrenn Jr. and in view of Loftsson et al. does not teach the

Page 7

specific cladribine to cyclodextrin ratios of 1:14.38 or 1:10.55, however these ratios are encompassed by the prior art and Schultz et al. teaches it is within the level of skill in the art to optimize the ratio of cyclodextrin relative too cladribine (column 4, lines 35-45). See also MPEP 2144.05 II.A, "Generally, differences in concentration or temperature will not support the patentability of subject matter encompassed by the prior art unless there is evidence indicating such concentration or temperature is critical." One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to optimize the cladribine to cyclodextrin ratio to give the composition comprising no significant amount of free crystalline cladribine therein because Schultz et al. teaches undesirable recrystallization of cladribine in tissue may occur and damage the surrounding tissue and that complexes with cyclodextrin are known to solubilize the compound (Schultz et al. column 2, lines 1-15). Schultz et al. in view of Wrenn Jr. and in view of Loftsson et al. does not specifically disclose the complex wherein from about 30 to about 40 percent by weight of the cladribine is in the inclusion complex (a) and from about 70 to about 60 percent by weight of the cladribine is in the non-inclusion complex (b). However, Loftsson et al. teaches formation of an inclusion complex from a non-inclusion complex in an aqueous solution is an equilibrium process, and the position of this equilibrium is dependent on the concentrations of the cladribine and cyclodextrin.

Claims 82-90 and 94-98 are drawn to a product-by-process. The disclosed product is substantially identical to the instantly claimed product-by-process, a pharmaceutical solid oral dosage form comprising an amorphous inclusion complex of cladribine and cyclodextrin and a non-inclusion complex of an amorphous cladribine

and an amorphous cyclodextrin. "[E]ven though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985) (citations omitted) (Claim was directed to a novolac color developer. The process of making the developer was allowed. The difference between the inventive process and the prior art was the addition of metal oxide and carboxylic acid as separate ingredients instead of adding the more expensive pre-reacted metal carboxylate. The product-by-process claim was rejected because the end product, in both the prior art and the allowed process, ends up containing metal carboxylate. The fact that the metal carboxylate is not directly added, but is instead produced in-situ does not change the end product.). See MPEP 2113.

Conclusion

No claim is found to be allowable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan S. Lau whose telephone number is 571-270-3531. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 9 am - 4 pm EST.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,205 Page 10

Art Unit: 1623

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shaojia Anna Jiang can be reached on 571-272-0627. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jonathan Lau Patent Examiner Art Unit 1623 /Shaojia Anna Jiang/ Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 1623